

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viriginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/963,567	09/27/2001	Tai-Her Yang	YANG3073/EM/7272	8926
7590 05/11/2005			EXAMINER :	
BACON & THOMAS			LE, DANG D	
625 Slaters Lane - 4th Floor Alexandria, VA 22314			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,			2834	
			DATE MAILED: 05/11/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		_	
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/963,567	YANG, TAI-HER	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Dang D. Le	2834	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet v	ith the correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REITHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the material patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thiod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29	9 March 2005.	·	
	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	wance except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice unde	er Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	٠.
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 22-24,26-29 and 31-43 is/are pend	- ' '		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd	Irawn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) <u>22-24,26-29 and 31-43</u> is/are reject	ted.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or clostian requirement		
,,	J/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Exam		· · · · · ·	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a	• •	•	
Applicant may not request that any objection to t		` '	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr	•		
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form P10-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:  1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents.	ents have been received.		
<ul><li>2. Certified copies of the priority docume</li><li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the p</li></ul>			
application from the International Burn	•	rreceived in this National Stage	
* Seé the attached detailed Office action for a l	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.	
*			
Attachment(s)			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)	
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No	(s)/Mail Date	
<ol> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>	08) 5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Application/Control Number: 09/963,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2834

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 3/29/ have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The limitations in the claims do not specify when the reverse torque happens. The claims do not require that the reverse torque (of screw 10) exists before the rotor starts. The claims neither require that the electrical characteristics of the machine be varied instantaneously when the reverse torque exists. In the Martinek patent, the displacement of the rotor in response to the reverse torque causes the electrical characteristics of the machine to be varied as follows:
  - After step b illustrated by the applicant in page 2 of the response, at the point the reverse torque acts on the shaft, the axial rotor position does not change, but the axial screw (10) position keeps changing until it activate the switch (17 in Figure 2), thereby changing the direction of the current flowing through the armature.
  - Because the direction of the current is changed, the rotor will rotate the other way and the axial rotor position keeps changing until the left thrust bearings
     (6 and 7) contact each other.

Therefore, the rejection of claims 22-24, 26, 27, 29, and 34-36 are still deemed proper and repeated hereinafter.

The examiner would also like to take a note that in the art of motor and generator, where there is electric field, there is magnetic field and vice versa.

Application/Control Number: 09/963,567 Page 3

Art Unit: 2834

Therefore, "said magnetic field structure" at the last line of claim 1 refers to "an electric field structure" at the second line.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 22-24, 26, 27, 29, 34-36, 39, and 43 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Martinek (2,978,621).

Regarding claim 22, Martinek shows an electric machine, comprising:

- An electric field structure (2);
- A rotor (5) arranged to rotate relative to the electric field structure;
- A helical structure (9) situated between the rotor (5) and a rotary shaft (10), and a pre-stressed spring (8) situated at one end of the rotor, wherein said helical structure and said spring are arranged to enable axial displacement of the rotor relative to the shaft, and thereby vary electrical machinery characteristics of the electric machine (to pull other selected control structure 17), in response to reverse torque resulting from interaction between said rotor, said magnetic field structure, and a load or driving device (12).

Regarding claim 35, it is noted that Martinek also shows the external device for controlling the axial displacement of the rotor exteriorly (Figure 3 for DC motor and Figure 4 for AC motor).

Application/Control Number: 09/963,567

Art Unit: 2834

Regarding claims 23, 24, 26, 27, 29, 34, 36, 39, and 43, it is noted that Martinek also shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 28 and 38 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martinek in view of Price (1,131,551).

Regarding claims 28 and 38, Martinek shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for the machine being a generator.

Price shows a generator for the purpose of generating electricity.

Since Martinek and Price are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the machine as a generator as taught by Price for the purpose discussed above.

6. Claims 31-33, 37 and 40-42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Martinek in view of Hinz (2,694,781).

Regarding claims 31-33, 37, and 40-42, Martinek shows all of the limitations of the claimed invention except for properties of both said magnetic field structure and said rotor being varied in an axial direction to vary magnetic field density between the rotor

Application/Control Number: 09/963,567

Art Unit: 2834

and the magnetic field structure and thereby vary operational characteristics of the electrical machine with axial displacement of the rotor.

Hinz shows properties of both said magnetic field structure and said rotor being varied in an axial direction to vary magnetic field density between the rotor and the magnetic field structure and thereby vary operational characteristics of the electrical machine with axial displacement of the rotor for the purpose of reducing length.

Since Martinek and Hinz are all from the same field of endeavor; the purpose disclosed by one inventor would have been recognized in the pertinent art of the others.

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to make the machine with varied properties in an axial direction of both magnetic field structure and rotor as taught by Hinz for the purpose discussed above.

#### Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/963,567

Art Unit: 2834

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

#### Information on How to Contact USPTO

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dang D Le whose telephone number is (571) 272-2027. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Darren Schuberg can be reached on (571) 272-2044. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

5/8/05

PRIMARY EXAMINE